Für Mensch & Umwelt

Umwelt 🎲 Bundesamt

Workshop "German Nitrogen Budget"

# DESTINO Calculating German Nitrogen Budget -Practicability of EPNB Guidelines

Martin Bach, University Giessen

Jürg Heldstab, Judith Reutimann, INFRAS

Stephan Fuchs, Tatyana Weber, KIT Karlsruhe

Berlin, German Environment Agency, 2.-3. May 2018



# **Practicability of EPNB guidelines – Outline**

- Comments on Annexes
- NBB Germany differences in pool structure and flows
- Proposals pool structure
- N content in materials
- Open questions, problems

# **Comments on Annexes**

- Structure and nomenclature of sub-pools, matrices and flows, level of detail, thoroughness of description etc. not always consistent between Annexes, e.g.
  - Pools differently broken down, pool AG up to 3 levels (highly disaggregated)
  - Pool HS: food inputs from AG here accounted directly, while MP accounts food flow
    AG → MP → HS
  - Annex MP: Sub-pool MP.CI is "Chemical Industry", in Annex 0 sub-pool MP.NC is "Nitrogen Chemistry"
  - Flow "food": in Annex HS direct from AG, in Annex MP flow AG  $\rightarrow$  MP  $\rightarrow$  HS
- General remark: Flow calculation/guidelines should refer to multi-national statistics (UNFCCC, FAO, Eurostat, EMEP etc.)

#### **NNB Germany vs. guidelines – Differences in pool structure**

- Pool AG: sub-pool "Manure Management" (AG.MM) deleted, sub-pool "Biogas Production" (AG.BP) introduced – corresponding to standard of N-balancing for agriculture in Germany.
- Energy production from biogas is attributed to AG.BP (not to EF.OE)
- Pool HS: sub-pool "Pets" (HS.PT) discarded, then sub-pool "Organic World" (HS.OW) is redundant

Calculating German Nitrogen Budget – Practicability of EPNB guidelines

### **NNB Germany vs. guidelines – Differences in flows**

- Annex AG: flows of animal products from agriculture are described as separate flows from AG.AH to HS (e.g. flow "wool production", flow "meat production", flow "milk production" etc.). German NNB: only one flow aggregates all animal market products.
- Flows "import / export of live animals": listed in MP.FP-RW / RW-MP.FP in German NBB.
- Flow "leaching and runoff from animal husbandry" (AG.MM.HOST-HY-Ntot; Loss of N to groundwater and surface water due to leakage of runoff): does not exist in the German N-Budget
- Pool HS: Atmospheric deposition on settlement area as additional flow (not mentioned in Annex HS)

Calculating German Nitrogen Budget – Practicability of EPNB guidelines

#### **Pool structure modifications – Proposals**

- For initial sources of "fresh" Nr (ammonia synthesis, biological N fixation, thermal NO<sub>x</sub>) and final sinks (denitrification, combustion, landfills): separate labels or flows should be defined. Not all sources starts and sinks ends in the atmosphere as N<sub>2</sub>.
- Pool EF: If N in fuels not considered: no flows between sub-pools redundant (structuring follows national GHG Reporting, but "sub-pooling" necessary?)
- Pool MP: Differentiation / flow calculation between MP.NC and MP.OP problematic (based on PRODCOM statistic). Simplification: only one sub-pool "Non-food Industry"
- For Germany: Sub-pool "Wetlands": area and N fluxes are very small, should be integrated in sub-pool "Semi-natural area".
- Pool HS: Introduction of sub-pool "Urban and industrial areas" for area-related flows (deposition, leaching, surface runoff, recultivation, public and private green etc.)
- Keep the N flow sheets as short as possible, in principle: only one flow from sub-pool to sub-pool (calculations, detailed tables: text)

# **Default values N content**

- Very valuable: Annexes 0 and HS, Tables with data of average N-content relevant for many matrices in MP and HS
- Biological N fixation (Annex FS): very large spans, e.g.
  - Table 5, Natural ecosystems: Temperate forests ... 6.5 26.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>
  - Table 19, Wetlands: e.g. Coastal wetlands 4 460 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>
- $\succ$  Annex HS, Table 12; 1100 Mical, Other Camenus, 1100 Mical, game, 1. 882 Milk, whole fresh cow, 888 Milk, skimmed c N content milk: concentrated or not, 893 Buttermilk, curdled, aci condensed, 897 Milk, whole dried, 898 Milk, skin contradictory values Milk - Excluding skimmed cow milk, 905 Whey, cheese, 907 Cheese 2848 2.1% Butter skimmed buffalo, 955 Cheese, buffalo milk, 982 M fresh goat, 1021 Cheese of goat mlk, 1023 Milk, s products of natural constituents nes, 910 Ice crea Milk, Whole 0.5% 2738
- Lack of data: N in waste categories

Calculating German Nitrogen Budget – Practicability of EPNB guidelines

#### **Open questions, problems, flaws, ...**

- Some fluxes can be directed only to pool level, but not distributed to a receiving subpool, e.g. wastewater from industrial emittents (direct dischargers): statistics not splited into MP.PF, MP.NC, MP.OP
- Initial production of fossile fuels (coal and lignite mining, oil extraction): which Pool(ex)?
- > N in fossile fuels: to be considered?
- > Formation of thermal  $NO_x$ : initial Nr source?
- Annex AG: HY AG.SM.LAND Seed HY-AG.SM.LAND- 1 Input of N by seed Seed
- ➤ much more items ...

# **Practicability of EPNB guidelines – Conclusions**

- > Harmonize structure, terminology, level of details, description etc. among Annexes
- Suitable as standardized reporting schemes for countries?
- Clear up the focus:
  - Detailed description of individual Nr flows?
  - Identification of data gaps?
  - Quantification of the Nr initial sources and final sinks?
  - Sources and amount of environmentally relevant N species (NO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O)?

# Thank you for your attention

Martin Bach Stephan Fuchs, Tatyana Weber Judith Reutimann, Jürg Heldstab

Contact martin.bach@umwelt.uni-giessen.de

